

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09 806,324 03 29 2001 Outi Vaarala 227-139 6790

> 7590 10 02 2002

Nixon & Vanderhye 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road Arlington, VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER WONG, LESLIE A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Ci 1761

DATE MAILED: 10.02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/806,324 Applicant(s)

Vaarala et al.

Office Action Summ	ı <i>ar</i> y	1
--------------------	---------------	---

Examiner

Art Unit Leslie Wong

1761



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period f	or Reply		
THE N	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. leriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) \overline{X} This action		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
	tion of Claims		
4) X	Claim(s) 1-12	is/are pending in the application.	
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s)		
•	Claim(s) 1-12		
7)-	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
8) -			
	ation Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
		o) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine			
4.01	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
	12). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a).	All b) Some* c) None of:		
υ / ,	Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have 		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
a)	The translation of the foreign language provisiona		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachi		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892	Interview Summary (P10-413) Paper Nots). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT0-152)	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6) Other:	
31 X I	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	of the other.	

Application Control Number: 09/806324

Art Unit: 1761

35 U.S.C 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 11 is directed to a "(u)se" which is non-statutory subject matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and its dependent claims are indefinite as to "if necessary" and "optionally" as it is not clear whether these steps are part of the claim.

Claims 2, 3, and 8 are indefinite as to "preferably ..." as it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

Claims 4-6, 8, and 9 are indefinite as to "suitably ..." as it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

Claim 9 is indefinite as to "optionally ..." as it is unclear whether the limitation following the phrase is part of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 10 and 12 are indefinite as to "substantially bovine insulin-free" as it is not clear what is encompassed by this phrase.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Vaarala et al (WO 98/48640).

Vaarala et al teach a process for removing bovine insulin from a protein material using a resin treatment combined with a filtration treatment as is claimed (see entire document). It is notoriously well-known that the resin (Amberlite manufactured by Rohm & Haas) utilized in Example 1 (page 8) is a styrene based adsorption resin.

All of the claim limitations have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

LAW October 1, 2002